

### **Operational Aspects of Photocathodes for SRF Guns**

ELBE.

Jochen Teichert & Rong Xiang on behalf of the SRF Gun Crew at ELBE EWPAA 2017 Berlin, 20 – 22 September 2017







HELMHOLTZ ZENTRUM DRESDEN ROSSENDORF

### Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Photocathode handling
- 3. Metallic photocathodes
- 4. Quality management
- 5. Operation
- 6. Summary: PC history





Jochen Teichert I HZDR

### 1. Introduction – ELBE SRF Gun II design



### 1. Introduction – Photocathode support and cooling

UV laser @ 258 nm 0.5 W CW 100 kHz,  $\leq$  5 µJ or 13 MHz,  $\leq$  0.04 µJ Gaussian 5-6 ps FWHM





- normal conducting low RF losses on cavity axis
- vacuum gap thermally and electrically isolated
- axis alignment (by hand)
- remote controlled positioning +- 0.6 mm range
- retracted RF focussing
- cathode exchange in cold gun





### 1. Introduction – Photocathodes for ELBE SRF Gun II



5

## **1. Introduction – Photocathodes for ELBE SRF Gun II** Promising Cs<sub>2</sub>Te cathode results for former gun

Requirements for Transfer:

- Load lock system with < 10<sup>-9</sup> mbar to preserve QE ≥ 1 %
- Exchange w/o warm-up, in short time and with low particle generation

Cathode	Operation Days	Charge	QE in gun
#090508Mo	30	< 1 C	0.05%
#070708Mo	60	< 1 C	0.1%
#310309Mo	109	< 1 C	1.1%
#040809Mo	182	< 1 C	0.6%
#230709Mo	56	< 1 C	0.03%
#250310Mo	427	35 C	1.0%
#090611Mo	65	< 1 C	1.2%
#300311Mo	76	20	1.0 %
#170412Mo	447	264 C	~ 0.6 %

Summary:

- 9 different Cs<sub>2</sub>Te cathodes used
- QE drops remains const. in the gun

transport chamber

- All cathodes died because of unexpected vacuum breakdown
- e ε<sub>thermal</sub> ~ 0.7 mm·mrad/r(mm)

fresh QE 8.5%, in gun 0.6% total beam time ~600 h extracted charge 264 C max beam current 400µA

load-lock

transfer chamber

#### Vacuum chamber (PC suitcase) and loadlock system for cathode exchange

- limited space and access to accelerator tunnel (24 h user operation)
- fast exchange of PCs from storage
   PC preparation systems are outside for continuous R&D work or even on another institute

QE requires: Vacuum must retain on the 10<sup>-9</sup> (10<sup>-10</sup>) mbar level al the time



PC preparation system connected to gun at Peking University, Courtesy K. Liu

successfully demonstrated:

7

Cs<sub>2</sub>Te: INFN-LASA Milano deposited PCs (2011) for APEX Gun (2014) with QE = 16 %

 D. Sertore, et al., J. Vac. Sci. Tech. A32 031602 (2014)
 D. Filippetto, et al., APL 107, 042104 (2015)

 K<sub>2</sub>CsSb: BNL produced PCs for Jlab, transportation at ~10<sup>-11</sup> Torr, QE > 1 % @532 nm

 R.R. Mammei, et al. Phys. Rev. STAB 16,033401 (2013)



#### Cs<sub>2</sub>Te preparation laboratory

8







Monitoring of QE and vacuum during the vacuum chamber transportation

- unlucky selection of ion getter pump type
- load-lock backing w/o transport chamber cooling
- valve opening produced vacuum peaks
- heavy chamber prone to vibrations and shocks





### New cathode transportation system developed in PCHB collaboration, HZB, HZDR, JGU Mainz

only the small plug (red) on the flag (blue) is transported the plug will be monted on the cathode body at the gun

- 1. vertical movement of the plug carrier
- 2. shift of one cathode plug on jaw from the GaAs preparation chamber to plug carrier
- 3. transfer of the photo cathode into the electron gun
- 4. plug exchange between carrier and cathode body
- 5. movement of one flag from the load-lock to the plug carrier with jaw.





10

TO BUN

### Photo cathode exchange in the SRF gun

Our experience:

11

- all the decrease in cavity performance and increase in field emission is connected to PC exchange
- exchange without particle generation
- precise alignment of transfer rod required
- mechanical design preventing that PC head hits the cavity



### 2. Photocathode handling – PC positioning



- PC position (-1 ... -3 mm) is one of the important optimization parameters
- hard to adjust and to measure exactly
- cavity fabrication accuracy, treatment, and warm tuning causes length errors





centering with camera image



### 2. Photocathode handling – PC positioning



#### **RF** measurement

# cavity resonance frequeency shift with and without cathode





### 3. Metallic photocathodes - Cu

clean- room assembly of the cathode cooling system with Cu cathode





Cu PC Perfect for gun commissioning no contamination risk RF and beam tests without cathode exchange system



14

### **3. Metallic photocathodes - Mg** Laser cleaning set-up - PC in transport chamber





Laser cleaning set-up at transport chamber at SRF gun

using the UV drive laser (100 mW, 100 kHz CW)





### 3. Metallic photocathodes - Mg



Plug Mg No. 1



Mg test sample an QE scans using the UV photocathode laser



0.

ó

20

30

40 50

ix

10



80

90

70

60

### Mg photocathodes - in SRF gun II

#### Laser phase scan and QE of Mg photo cathode in SRF gun



# 4. Quality management

#### **Preparation lab**

- dry-ice cleaning of PCs
- visual inspection: scraches & particles
- repeating dry-ice cleaning
- visual inspection: scratches & particles
- PC preparation
- QE measurement in prep chamber
- visual inspection: scraches , particles & layer quality
- regularly QE and QE-scan

### In transportation chamber at gun

- visual inspection: scraches , particles & layer quality
- regularly QE and QE-scan

#### In Gun

- DC voltage QE-scan
- RF test, cavity losses, multipacting
- field emission/dark current
   FC cup and energy spectrum
- QE and QE-scan with gradient 18

#### Modified transport chamber



accurate QE measurement with LED

### 4. Quality management

polished and cleaned Poly- Mg plug φ 10mm

polish

scratch and particles: field emission risk

> counting scratches and particles, layer quality, QE, QE scan, ...





### **Photocathode Quality Management**

For SRF photo injector the quality of photo cathodes has two important impacts:

- electron beam quality (QE, therm. emittance, roughness, ...)
- sustaining the SC cavity performance (particle pollution, field emitters, layer quality, ...)



### 5. Operation – Laser adjustment

#### Adjustment of laser spot on photo cathode



2

#### initial



#### final



solenoid, quads, steerers are switched of, accuracy of positioning: ~ 100  $\mu$ m, effect on emittance for  $\Delta x = 100 \mu$ m is less than measurement accuracy (for Cu with ~ 1 pC)

90

### 5. Operation - dark current

### dark current: field emitters in cavity and on photo cathode

**cavity:** quality of treatment and cold mass assembly particle contamination during cathode exchange !

only emitters near cathode and on iris contribute (beam direction & energy) **photo cathode:** 

emission layer roughness, effects of discharges, coating adhesion, particle pollution not measured here



beam spot 200 nA and dark current 53 nA at 9 MV/m (23 MV/m peak)



comparison of dark current

### 5. Operation - dark current

May 12th, 2016

activation of an existing field emitter due to photocathode movement







YAG screen in front of ELBE accelerator module

FE on cathode (?) has "right" energy, dark current is accelerated and transported to target station, high back ground for users, significant suppression by positive cathode bias.



### 5. Operation - multipacting

- MP was expected in the gap between cathode and cavity at surface fields of 0.1-0.2 kV/m since the early design stage!
- So biasing of the cathode up to -7 kV was considered in the cathode design (el. isolated)
- Characterized by high current (>1 mA, rectified) at the cathode and electron flash at view screens
- Biasing of the electrically isolated cathode often works, but is not straight forward.

Cs<sub>2</sub>Te: Strong MP effects, required a permanent adoption of cathode bias (-1 ... -7 kV) - **experience with first ELBE SRF gun** Cu & Mg: **no** MP

one of the advantages of Mg cathodes!

Multipacting needs an interplay of geometry and increased SEY





DRESDEN concept

### 5. Operation - multipacting

Future approaches of MP suppression for Cs<sub>2</sub>Te cathodes:

- Sustaining the low SEY by screening the cathode side walls during Cs2Te layer preparation
- Sub-mm structuring of cathode tips CST simulation results University of Rostock
- Laser treatment of tip side walls laser-engineered surface structures



#### "black copper"

also reduced SEY e-cloud mitigation @ CERN



A. Gillespie, A. Abdolvand, University of Dundee

**R. Valizadeh, O. Malyshev, Daresbury Lab.** LA<sup>3</sup>NET conference, Mallorca 2015

At present the "clean" cathode - improved shielding in PC prep. chamber - works well for SRF gun II



### 5. Operation – cavity temperature

Release of collected gas destroys the PC

Moving PC in transport chamber before He refrigerator maintenance



Member of the Helmholtz Association

Jochen Teichert I HZDR

### 5. Operation – Cs<sub>2</sub>Te cathode cooling

27

QE drop down for Cs2Te photocathode afer about two weeks operation in SRF-Gun II



### 5. Operation – Cs<sub>2</sub>Te cathode cooling



#### defines

- beam optics -RF focusing
- RF field strength at cathode

depends on cathode plug length assembly of cold mass difficult to adjust & measure during assembly

 Later, two used Mg and Cs2Te cathode have shown only a small frequency drift (<100 Hz), which indicated a proper thermal contact and sufficiently cooled cathodes!



## 5. Operation – Cs<sub>2</sub>Te cathode cooling

# frequency drift due to cathode heating

• we observed frequency drifts than are not caused by Lorentz force detuning, but can be explained by thermal expansion of the cathode due to RF heating



1th drift LF detuning (-630 Hz) plus thermal expansion (-870 Hz) temperature rise of +120 K RF heat loss of ~16 W

2nd drift thermal expansion only (-1.5 kHz) problem of LN2 cooling length change +170 μm

time [s] heating up destroyed the QE of the cathode, a proper thermal contact is needed details why this happens in SRF Gun II and not in the former gun are unclear



### Photo cathode history in SRF gun II

30

Туре	Time	QE	Q / I <sub>cw</sub>	Remarks
Cu	June 14 – Feb. 15	2x10 <sup>-5</sup>	3 pC / 300 nA	Inserted during clean-room assembly of the gun
Cs <sub>2</sub> Te	Feb. 15	2 % ↓ <sup>0 %</sup>		<b>strong multipacting</b> & field emission cavity polution
Cu	Mar. 15 – Feb. 16	2x10 <sup>-5</sup>	3 pC / 300 nA	high dark current from cavity, no multipacting
Mg (#201)	Mar. 16 – Aug. 16	0.2 %	200 pC / 20 μA	no multipacting, no dark current from Mg, stable (user) operation, no QE decrease
Mg (#207)	Nov. 16 – Dec. 16	0.1 %	80 pC / 8 μA	no multipacting, no dark current from Mg, stable (user) operation, no QE decrease
Cs <sub>2</sub> Te	Feb. 17	1.7 %	300 pC / 30 μA	no multipacting, no dark current from PC, <b>QE drop down after 2 weeks</b> , overheating!
Mg (#207)	Mar. 17 – May 17	0.2 %	150 pC / 15 μΑ	cathode laser cleaned 3rd time, stable beam operation
Cs <sub>2</sub> Te (#2017.3.10)	June 17 – June 17	1.3 %	15 pC / 200 μΑ	13 MHz CW ,no multipacting, no dark current again QE drop down after 2 weeks, overheating! showed same behavior as Cs2Te in Febr. 2017
Mg (#214)	August 17 →	0.2 %	400 pC/ 40 μA	no multipacting, no dark current from Mg, stable operation up to 400 pC / 100 kHz gradient 8 MV/m (20.5 MV/m peak) Ekin = 4 MeV

)

# Thank you for your attention!

#### Thanks to the ELBE team

A. Arnold, S. Hartstock, P. Lu, P. Murcek, H. Vennekate, R. Xiang, H. Büttig, M. Freitag, M. Gensch, M. Justus, M. Kuntzsch, U. Lehnert, P. Michel,

C. Schneider, G. Staats, R. Steinbrück,

#### and our co-workers

P. Kneisel, G. Ciovati JLAB, Newport News, USA

I. Will MBI, Berlin, Germany

T. Kamps, J. Rudolph, M. Schenck, M. Schmeißer, G. Klemz,

J. Voelker, E. Panofski, J. Kühn, HZB, Berlin, Germany

- J. Sekutowicz, DESY, Hamburg, Germany
- K. Aulenbacher, JGU, Mainz, Germany
- R. Nietubyć NCBJ, Świerk/Otwock, Poland
- U. van Rienen, Uni Rostock, Germany





Diagnostics

Cavities

We acknowledge the support of the European Community under the FP7 programme since 2009 (EuCARD, EuCARD2, LA3NET) as well as the support of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, grants 05 ES4BR1/8 and 05K2012.

